Advancements in food allergen immunotherapy: improving quality of life and reducing risks

Jihyun Kim, MD, PhD^{1,2}

¹Department of Pediatrics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; ²Department of Health Sciences and Technology, Samsung Advanced Institute for Health Sciences & Technology, Suwon, Korea

Key message

- Pediatric food allergies considerably impair patient and family quality of life, particularly those with persistent allergies to common food allergens.
- Recent research has focused on developing diverse approaches to food allergen immunotherapy, showing promising outcomes of oral, sublingual, and epicutaneous immunotherapies.
- Critical considerations in immunotherapy candidate selection underscore the need for personalized approaches and reliable biomarkers in future studies to improve treatment outcomes.

The prevalence of food allergies (FAs) has increased in recent decades, affecting 5%–10% of children worldwide.^{1,2)} FA has emerged as a growing concern due to the substantial risks and reduced quality of life (QoL) of patients and their families.^{3,4)} Of note, in approximately 50% of Korean children allergic to eggs or milk, these allergies persist to the ages of 5 and 8 years, respectively.⁵⁾ Moreover, parents

of patients with FA aged \geq 5 years show significant concern about their children's vigilance while eating at school and engaging in preschool/school activities involving food.⁴⁾ Taken together, interventions for patients with FA, such as FA immunotherapy, can potentially improve the prognosis of children with FA and the QoL of them and their family members.

Although FA management for the past several decades has focused on allergen avoidance, recent research advancements have facilitated diverse approaches to FA immunotherapy.⁶⁾ Burks et al. conducted a double-blind randomized placebo-controlled trial and long-term follow-up of the effectiveness and safety of oral immunotherapy (OIT) for children with egg allergies.⁷⁾ This study revealed that 55% of children in the OIT group versus 0% in the control group demonstrated desensitization after 10 months of OIT.⁷⁾ Additionally, 28% of children in the OIT group passed the oral food challenge after 22 months of OIT and 4–6



Corresponding author: Jihyun Kim, MD, PhD. Department of Pediatrics, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, 81 Irwon-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06351, Korea

Email: jihyun77.kim@samsung.com, https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8493-2881 Received: 18 December 2023, Revised: 29 April 2024, Accepted: 9 May 2024

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Copyright © 2024 by The Korean Pediatric Society

weeks of avoiding egg consumption, indicating sustained unresponsiveness. Since the introduction of OIT for FA, its application has expanded, and delivery methods have been extensively studied.^{6,8-9)} For example, a recent study compared peanut sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) with placebo in 40 adolescents and adults with peanut allergies.9) This study demonstrated a significant increase in the median dose that was successfully consumed after 44 weeks of SLIT versus no increase in the placebo group. Epicutaneous immunotherapy (EPIT), which involves the application of a FA via a skin patch, was examined in a phase 3, multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebocontrolled trial of patients aged 1-3 years.¹⁰ The results indicated desensitization in 67.0% of children in the EPIT group versus 33.5% of children in the placebo group (P< 0.001) after 12 months of treatment.

In this issue of Clinical and Experimental Pediatrics, Jeon and Kim⁶⁾ offer a comprehensive summary of recent studies of various current FA immunotherapy strategies with focus on their respective advantages and disadvantages. This article provides detailed information on study populations, allergen dosage, treatment duration, efficacy, and safety of OIT, SLIT, and EPIT. Although OIT has known ability to induce desensitization and improve disease prognosis among children with FAs, safety concerns pose significant barriers to its widespread application. Adverse events ranging from mild symptoms to potentially life-threatening reactions have been documented in 30%-100% of patients undergoing OIT.⁶⁾ Therefore, this article emphasizes the therapeutic potential of promising strategies such as lowdose immunotherapy and combination therapies with biologics including omalizumab, dupilumab, and ligelizumab. For example, a recent real-world study of 58 children with severe milk allergies treated with omalizumab revealed that 83% tolerated ≥6,000 mg of milk protein during the maintenance phase.⁸⁾ In contrast, only 40.5% of those treated with OIT without omalizumab could tolerate milk. Adverse events were noted in 59% of patients who discontinued omalizumab compared to 25% of those who continued treatment. Moreover, patients who suddenly discontinued treatment experienced more adverse events than those who gradually discontinued treatment, although the difference was not statistically significant. These various approaches aim to minimize allergic reactions and enhance treatment efficacy among patients with FAs.

The study of Jeon and Kim⁶⁾ underscores critical considerations in selecting candidates for FA immunotherapy by emphasizing various factors such as causative allergen, potential for natural outgrowing, symptom severity, and patient age. Notably, children facing multiple food restrictions or allergies to common allergens such as eggs, milk, soy, or wheat tend to experience more compromised QoL than those with single or uncommon allergens.⁴⁾ Moreover, parents of children with a history of food-induced anaphylaxis bear a significant burden, with over half of mothers experiencing posttraumatic stress symptoms.^{3,4)} Personalized FA immunotherapy plans play a pivotal role in identifying the most suitable treatment approach for each patient, contributing to improving the QoL of individuals with FAs. Therefore, future studies should focus on developing tailored methods that align with the treatment goals as well as identifying reliable biomarkers to predict which candidates are more likely to achieve desensitization and tolerance. These insights hold promise for advancing treatment approaches and further individualizing patient care.

See the article "Recent advances in food allergen immunotherapy" via https://doi.org/10.3345/cep.2023.01004.

Footnote

Conflicts of interest: No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Funding: This work was supported by a National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (Ministry of Science and ICT) (2023R1A2C 1002740).

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Bo-Hyeon Kim and Young-Hee Chung from Multimedia Services Part, Samsung Medical Center (Seoul, Korea) for drafting the graphical abstract.

ORCID: Jihyun Kim Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-8493-2881

References

- Santos AF, Riggioni C, Agache I, Akdis CA, Akdis M, Alvarez-Perea A, et al. EAACI guidelines on the diagnosis of IgEmediated food allergy. Allergy 2023;78:3057-76.
- 2. Gupta RS, Springston EE, Warrier MR, Smith B, Kumar R, Pongracic J, et al. The prevalence, severity, and distribution of childhood food allergy in the United States. Pediatrics 2011; 128:e9-17.
- 3. Jeong K, Kim J, Chang HY, Song TW, Kim JH, Shin M, et al. Maternal posttraumatic stress symptoms and psychological burden in mothers of Korean children with anaphylaxis. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2022;14:742-51.
- 4. Jung M, Kang U, Kim S, Yoo HW, Kim HY, Kim M, et al. Psychological distress and perceived burden in parents of Korean children with IgE-mediated food allergy. J Korean Med Sci 2023;38:e208.

- 5. Kim M, Lee JY, Yang HK, Won HJ, Kim K, Kim J, et al. The natural course of immediate-type cow's milk and egg allergies in children. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2020;181:103-10.
- 6. Jeon YH, Kim EH. Recent advances in food allergen immunotherapy. Clin Exp Pediatr 2024;67:386-94.
- 7. Burks AW, Jones SM, Wood RA, Fleischer DM, Sicherer SH, Lindblad RW, et al. Oral immunotherapy for treatment of egg allergy in children. N Engl J Med 2012;367:233-43.
- Ibáñez-Sandín MD, Escudero C, Candón Morillo R, Lasa EM, Marchán-Martín E, Sánchez-García S, et al. Oral immunotherapy in severe cow's milk allergic patients treated with omalizumab: real life survey from a Spanish registry. Pediatr Allergy Immunol 2021;32:1287-95.
- 9. Fleischer DM, Burks AW, Vickery BP, Scurlock AM, Wood RA, Jones SM, et al. Sublingual immunotherapy for peanut allergy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:119-27.e1-7.
- 10. Greenhawt M, Sindher SB, Wang J, O'Sullivan M, du Toit G, Kim EH, et al. Phase 3 trial of epicutaneous immunotherapy in toddlers with peanut allergy. N Engl J Med 2023;388:1755-66.

How to cite this article: Kim J. Advancements in food allergen immunotherapy: improving quality of life and reducing risks. Clin Exp Pediatr 2024;67:672-4.